


# Q1 As a User of the park trail and/or lake, do you want a Noise Wall installed along Rice Lake (Weaver Lake Road to the 1st bridge)?

Answered: 164 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	83.54% 137
No	16.46% 27
TOTAL	164

#	FEEL FREE TO COMMENT (OPTIONAL)	DATE
1	As well as wall #3 from 2nd bridge to 93rd	1/24/2019 7:49 AM
2	Why wouldn't you want a noise wall put up? The highway noise is obnoxious and the sound carries even farther across the water. Give the neighborhood some relief from the noise.	1/24/2019 12:54 AM
3	We have the best trails in the TC area, let's keep them that way.	1/23/2019 3:49 PM
4	It would provide noise barrier to benefit people and animals in nature.	1/23/2019 3:49 PM
5	not only as a user of the trail along Rice Lake, but also I live on the north shore of Rice Lake and inside with all windows shut in our bedrooms master and kid bedroom I hear traffic all day and night long, and when enjoy backyard activities, in my opinion I think the noise wall should be installed	1/23/2019 2:27 PM
6	Concerns about safety on the Rice Lake trail if it is more enclosed. Already an area that has seen sexual assault	1/23/2019 11:53 AM
7	I am a frequent walker around lake and trails	1/23/2019 10:48 AM
8	Freeway noise definitely disrupts the serenity of our park trails as well as home values in the neighborhood.	1/23/2019 10:23 AM
9	I live on 91st ave north and believe the wall will improve quality of life for home owners near the freeway and residents using the trails	1/23/2019 5:51 AM
10	Blocks the view of lake from freeway and won't help that much for sound.	1/22/2019 9:53 PM
11	The noise pollution and even run off from 94 would be greatly mitigated with a wall	1/22/2019 9:45 PM
12	I think having the wall would greatly reduce the noise pollution and increase the enjoyment of the path.	1/22/2019 9:18 PM
13	Along the houses would be fine, closer to the city 30 bridge. But not along the lake.	1/22/2019 9:17 PM
14	I don't walk on that side of the lake because the noise is so loud.	1/22/2019 7:50 PM

15	I am the pastor The Grove a 600-700 person church on Rice Lake with Lake Front property. We as a congregation are in full support of the wall	1/22/2019 5:05 PM
16	really don't work..only 'make work' projects at tax payers expense.	1/22/2019 4:54 PM
17	Absolutely only going to better our home values to not have to hear the constant road noise.	1/22/2019 7:24 AM
18	The extent of the wall should not be limited to the first bridge	1/21/2019 8:37 PM
19	Why did this take so damn long	1/21/2019 7:47 PM
20	How many homeowners are affected by extreme noise that warrants this expenditure??	1/21/2019 6:57 PM
21	Please!	1/21/2019 6:38 PM
22	The wall would also be a significant improvement in my neighborhood along the east side of Rice Lake where traffic noise is significant.	1/21/2019 2:22 PM
23	Absolutely!!!!!! I hope the city council votes based on their constituent's view and not on their personal view.	1/19/2019 8:35 PM
24	noise abatement would be a great improvement to quality of life in Maple Grove lakes area	1/19/2019 1:49 PM
25	Noise reduction would be a massive improvement	1/19/2019 1:29 PM
26	A sound barrier would be an enormous improvement to Maple Grove's quality of life!	1/19/2019 1:26 PM
27	Please extend on both sides of the road between bridges	1/19/2019 12:52 PM
28	The enjoyment and utilization of the trail that loops Rice Lake would be vastly improved with a noise wall...it is that part of the trail-- with the noise and visual disruption-- that has to be 'endured' during what you otherwise be a pleasant and safe-feeling outdoor experience in Maple Grove!	1/15/2019 10:20 AM
29	Let's use that money on the lake and restoration. Not a wall.	1/14/2019 1:52 PM
30	My understanding from the DOT is that the proposed Noise Wall #1 would only offer discernible noise relief to 4 homes (3 in the senior high rise and 1 townhome). Without wall #2 being built, there will also be minimal noise relief along the trail and on the lake. Given this, I would sooner look out at traffic than a wall.	1/14/2019 12:58 PM
31	I'd prefer trees to block sound but if a wall is what's offered...	1/14/2019 9:04 AM
32	The DOT has made it very clear that there is going to be virtually no noise relief to any of the homes if Noise Wall #1 is built. Noise Wall #2 did not meet the DOT criteria so it is not going to be built. As a result, there will be a big unwalled area along the west side of the lake which means there will be minimal noise relief along the trail or on the lake. So the end result is we will be stuck with a big ugly wall that hardly helps the noise problem at all. I wish the facts were otherwise, but they are not.	1/13/2019 8:46 PM
33	The only mistake would be to not do the wall.	1/13/2019 2:58 PM
34	As a homeowner on the lake and user of the trail system I think the wall would add benefit to the Maple Grove community.	1/13/2019 2:11 PM
35	Would also suggest a wall be considered to provide noise reduction to shadow creek neighborhoods.	1/13/2019 1:51 PM
36	Noise and safety are a huge concern for me. I can't even have a conversation with the person I am walking with because of the freeway noise.	1/13/2019 1:49 PM
37	I find the noise to be really bad when walking and riding bike. I don't like even being on the path during heavy traffic. I can hear the traffic noise all the way across rice lake at my house as well.	1/13/2019 1:49 PM
38	The noise from 94 not only effects the trails but the homes at a minimum of a mile away. This noise wall is essential.	1/13/2019 1:31 PM
39	This will benefit both users of the park trail as well as lake users	1/10/2019 1:11 PM